

# OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber OneFortyOne Wood Products

Chemwatch Hazard

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023 L.GHS.AUS.EN.E

Chemwatch: **5300-02**Version No: **6.1**Safety Data Sheet according to WHS Regulations (Hazardous Chemicals) Amendment 2020 and ADG requirements

### SECTION 1 Identification of the substance / mixture and of the company / undertaking

### **Product Identifier**

Product name	OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber	
Chemical Name	lot Applicable	
Synonyms	creosote treated timber wood dusts shavings turnings borings	
Chemical formula	Not Applicable	
Other means of identification	Not Available	

### Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

### Relevant identified uses

Timber which has been pressure impregnated with creosote for protection against fungal decay and insect attack. Use is not recommended where there may be food or fodder contact; drinking water contact, except for incidental contact as for structures as bridges, docks. Also not recommended for regular contact with bare skin i.e.; seats, chairs; farm structures on which animals may chew, also brooding, farrowing, or small animal rearing structures. Sawing and sanding produces dust which contains the preservative chemical. Avoid handling or sawing freshly treated wet timber.

Use according to manufacturer's directions.

### Details of the manufacturer or supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	OneFortyOne Wood Products	
Address	ubilee Hwy East Mount Gambier SA 5290 Australia	
Telephone	8 8721 2777	
Fax	+61 8 8721 2858 http://onefortyone.com/ Nigel.Boyd@onefortyone.com	
Website		
Email		

## Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	OneFortyOne Wood Products	
Emergency telephone numbers	+61 8 8721 2777 (Mon-Fri 9am to 5pm)	
Other emergency telephone numbers	Not Available	

### **SECTION 2 Hazards identification**

## Classification of the substance or mixture

Poisons Schedule Not Applicable			
Classification [1] Sensitisation (Skin) Category 1, Sensitisation (Respiratory) Category 1, Carcinogenicity Category 1A			
Legend: 1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI			

### Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)



Signal word Dang

Hazard statement(s)

H317 May cause an allergic skin reaction.

Chemwatch: **5300-02**Version No: **6.1** 

Page 2 of 15

**OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber** 

Issue Date: **10/03/2023**Print Date: **20/04/2023** 

H334	May cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled.	
H350	May cause cancer.	

## Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P201	Obtain special instructions before use.	
P261	Avoid breathing dust/fumes.	
P280	Wear protective gloves and protective clothing.	
P284	[In case of inadequate ventilation] wear respiratory protection.	
P272	Contaminated work clothing should not be allowed out of the workplace.	

### Precautionary statement(s) Response

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
P304+P340	IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing.	
P308+P313	P308+P313 IF exposed or concerned: Get medical advice/ attention.	
P342+P311	If experiencing respiratory symptoms: Call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider.	
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water and soap.	
P333+P313	P333+P313 If skin irritation or rash occurs: Get medical advice/attention.	
P362+P364	P362+P364 Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.	

## Precautionary statement(s) Storage

P405 Store locked up.

### Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501

Dispose of contents/container to authorised hazardous or special waste collection point in accordance with any local regulation.

## **SECTION 3 Composition / information on ingredients**

### **Substances**

See section below for composition of Mixtures

### Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight] Name		
Not Available	Creosote-Treated Timber		
Not Available	impregnation residuals, as		
8001-58-9	trace <u>creosote, coal tar</u>		
Not Available	In use, may generate		
Not Available	>1 wood dust		
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI; 4. Classification drawn from C&L * EU IOELVs available		

## **SECTION 4 First aid measures**

### Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	<ul> <li>If this product comes in contact with the eyes:</li> <li>Wash out immediately with fresh running water.</li> <li>Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids.</li> <li>Seek medical attention without delay; if pain persists or recurs seek medical attention.</li> <li>Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.</li> </ul>
Skin Contact	Brush off dust. Seek medical attention in event if irritation.  If skin or hair contact occurs:  Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available).  Seek medical attention in event of irritation.
Inhalation	<ul> <li>If dust is inhaled, remove from contaminated area.</li> <li>Encourage patient to blow nose to ensure clear passage of breathing.</li> <li>If irritation or discomfort persists seek medical attention.</li> </ul>
Ingestion	<ul> <li>If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting.</li> <li>If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration.</li> <li>Observe the patient carefully.</li> <li>Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious.</li> <li>Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink.</li> <li>Seek medical advice.</li> <li>Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product.</li> </ul>

## Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

### **SECTION 5 Firefighting measures**

Chemwatch: 5300-02
Version No: 6.1

# Page 3 of 15 OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Issue Date: **10/03/2023**Print Date: **20/04/2023** 

### **Extinguishing media**

- There is no restriction on the type of extinguisher which may be used.
- Use extinguishing media suitable for surrounding area.

### Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility

▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result

## Advice for firefighters

### Fire Fighting

- ▶ Use water to wet down wood dusts to reduce the dispersion of dust into the air.
- ▶ Remove burned or wet dust to open area, after fire is extinguished, as partially burnt or wet dust may spontaneously ignite.
- Rake out ashes
- ▶ Self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) is recommended when fighting fire.

Slight hazard when exposed to heat, flame and oxidisers.

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Wood articles do not normally constitute an explosion hazard.
- · Wood dusts, however, may constitute an explosion risk where the mean particle size is less than 200 microns, and where as little as 10% of the mixture contains dust less than 80 microns in size. Only weak explosions are likely where the mean particle size exceeds 200 microns. Wood dust is considered to be explosive if ignition of part of a cloud of wood dust results in the propagation of flame through the rest of the cloud. The vigour of flame propagation will vary from dust cloud to dust cloud and not all flammable dusts are equally explosive.
- The burning of an unconfined wood dust cloud produces a flash fire. However, if the wood dust is contained within a full or partial enclosure, the pressure build-up can produce a destructive explosion. Its severity will depend on the type and concentration of the dust, particle size distribution, moisture content, the size of the source of ignition and the strength of the enclosure.
- Generally, the larger the volume of the exploding dust cloud, the more widespread its effects will be. It is important to ensure that wood dust does not escape from collection systems and be allowed to build up within workrooms. If dust does accumulate, any primary explosion which occurs in a collection unit may stir up dust deposits within the building which houses the plant. Burning particles from the primary explosion can ignite the dust cloud resulting from it, leading to a secondary explosion that is usually more destructive than the first.
- · Mechanical or abrasive activities which produce wood dust, as a by-product, may present a severe explosion hazard if a dust cloud contacts an ignition source.
- · Hot humid conditions may result in *spontaneous combustion* of accumulated wood dust.
- · Partially burned or scorched wood dust can explode if dispersed in air.
- · Wet dusts may ignite spontaneously.
- Solid fuels, such as wood, when subjected to a sufficient heat flux, will degrade, gasify and release vapours. There is little or no oxidation involved in this gasification process and thus it is endothermic. This process is referred to as *forced pyrolysis* but is sometimes referred to, wrongly, as smoldering combustion. This type of combustion, once initiated, can continue in a low-oxygen environment, even when the fire is in a closed compartment with low oxygen content.
- An airborne concentration of 40 grams of dust per cubic meter of air is frequently used as the lower explosive limit (L.E.L) of wood dusts
- · Thermal oxidative decomposition may produce vapours and gases including carbon monoxide, aldehydes (including formaldehyde), organic acids, cyanides, polycyclic aromatics, and other volatile organic fragments.

Common ignition sources include naked flames, faulty or unsuitable electrics and impact sparks.

The sanding or hogging of off-cuts containing metal may produce friction sparks, which can cause sawdust to smoulder and subsequently be fanned into fires or explosions. Use dedicated collection systems for these operations. Consider spark detection and extinguishing devices where there are significant risks.

For dry wood dusts:

- Moisture Content : less than 5%
- Particle size: less than 100 micron
- Dust Explosion Class: Kst1 (some wood dust is KSt2)
- Minimum Ignition Energy MIE 7-250 mJ
- · Minimum Cloud Ignition Temperature: 490 deg C.
- · Minimum Layer Ignition Temperature 310-320 deg C
- Minimum Explosible Concentration MEC 40-60 g/m3
- Maximum Pressure Pmax: 9.2 barg (133 psig)
   Deflagration Index Kst: 100-150 bar.m-sec

Combustion products include:

carbon dioxide (CO2)

other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.

May emit poisonous fumes.

HAZCHEM

Fire/Explosion Hazard

Not Applicable

### **SECTION 6 Accidental release measures**

### Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

### **Environmental precautions**

See section 12

### Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

## Minor Spills

- Clean up all spills immediately.
- Secure load if safe to do so.
- ▶ Bundle/collect recoverable product.
- Collect remaining material in containers with covers for disposal.

# Major Spills

- Clean up all spills immediately.
   Wear protective clothing, safety glasses, dust mask, gloves
- Secure load if safe to do so. Bundle/collect recoverable product.
- Use dry clean up procedures and avoid generating dust
- Vacuum up (consider explosion-proof machines designed to be grounded during storage and use)
- ► Water may be used to prevent dusting.
- Collect remaining material in containers with covers for disposal.
- Flush spill area with water.

### Continued...

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Version No: 6.1

## Page 4 of 15 **OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber**

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

### **SECTION 7 Handling and storage**

### Precautions for safe handling

Controls to reduce exposure to dusts include:

- Many hazards are associated with wood dust production. Dusts can cause a range of skin, eye, lung and other aliments and complaints
- All work should be carried out in such a way as to minimise the generation of dust. Generally, all dust needs to collected at the point of generation
- Machining should be done with equipment fitted with exhaust extraction.
- Hand power tools should be fitted with dust bags and used in well-ventilated areas.
- A vacuum cleaner with a high efficiency filter or wet mop should be used to clean work areas. A dry sweeping method should not be used.
- Clean inside walls, ceilings, ledges and other surfaces of workrooms regularly to prevent dust accumulating. Use vacuum cleaning equipment with high efficiency filters. Do not use compressed airlines or hand brushing as these will create dust clouds and redistribute the dust.
- Clean the workshop machines and tools regularly to prevent dust build-up.
- Suspect that a health problem may be related to your workshop if the symptoms improve during holidays or absences from the workshop
- Exposure to wood dust has long been associated with a variety of adverse health effects, including dermatitis, allergic respiratory effects, mucosal and non-allergic respiratory effects, and cancer.
- In general, exposure to excessive amounts is considered to have an irritant effect on eyes, nose and throat in addition to pulmonary function. Western red cedar dust has also been shown to cause asthma
- Many tropical timbers are spalted (i.e. black lines are present within the timber). These black lines are caused by fungus. Any timber with fungal spores will grow fungus in a bag. When this timber is worked (by hand or machine) the dust may be toxic.
- Medium and high-density fibreboards (MDF) are made using up to 13% formaldehyde resin. Formaldehyde is classified as a probable human carcinogen and may be released during machining. The softwood dust from this product is a sensitiser and may cause allergic dermatitis or asthma.

TEEL-3

## Other information

Safe handling

Avoid sawing or handling freshly treated wet timber.

- Keep dry.
- Store under cover.
- Store in a well ventilated area
- Store away from sources of heat or ignition.
- ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

### Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container

Usually stored in bulk

Generally packaging as originally supplied with the article or manufactured item is sufficient to protect against physical hazards If repackaging is required ensure the article is intact and does not show signs of wear. As far as is practicably possible, reuse the original packaging or something providing a similar level of protection to both the article and the handler

Storage incompatibility

▶ Avoid reaction with oxidising agents

## SECTION 8 Exposure controls / personal protection

TEEL-1

## Control parameters

Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL)

**INGREDIENT DATA** 

Not Available

Ingredient

## **Emergency Limits**

creosote, coal tar	0.6 mg/m3	120 mg/m3		700 mg/m3
Ingredient	Original IDLH		Revised IDLH	
creosote, coal tar	Not Available		Not Available	
wood dust	Not Available		Not Available	

TEEL-2

## **Occupational Exposure Banding**

Ingredient	Occupational Exposure Band Rating	Occupational Exposure Band Limit	
creosote, coal tar	Е	≤ 0.1 ppm	
wood dust	D	> 0.01 to ≤ 0.1 mg/m³	
Notes:	Occupational exposure banding is a process of assigning chemicals into specific categories or bands based on a chemical's potency and the adverse health outcomes associated with exposure. The output of this process is an occupational exposure band (OEB), which corresponds to a range of exposure concentrations that are expected to protect worker health.		

### MATERIAL DATA

WARNING: Wood dusts have been classified by the IARC as Group 1: CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS.

Wood dusts produce dermatitis and an increased risk of upper respiratory disease. Epidemiological studies in furniture workers show an increased risk of lung, tongue, pharynx and nasal cancer. An excess risk of leukaemia amongst millwrights probably is associated with exposure to various components used in wood preservation.

IARC has not limited this finding to any specific type of industry (e.g. furniture manufacturing) or wood dust source (hardwood vs. softwood). IARC s conclusions are based primarily on human carcinogenicity data from studies of various exposed worker populations.

The softwood TLV-TWA reflects the apparent low risk for upper respiratory tract involvement amongst workers in the building industry. A separate TLV-TWA, for hard woods, is based on impaired nasal mucociliary function reported to contribute to nasal adenocarcinoma and related hyperplasia found in furniture workers.

Allergic reactions are more common from handling green timber, less common for dried hardwood.

Impairment of nasal mucociliary function may occur below 5 mg/m3 and may be important in the development of nasal adenocarcinoma amongst furniture workers exposed to

Certain exotic hardwoods contain alkaloids which may produce headache, anorexia, nausea, bradycardia and dyspnoea.

 Chemwatch: 5300-02
 Page 5 of 15
 Issue Date: 10/03/2023

 Version No: 6.1
 Print Date: 20/04/2023

### **OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber**

ACGIH Exposure Standards for Wood dusts

ACGIH TLV TWA (inhalable fraction) Species Notations TI V Basis Sensitiser, A4\*\*\* Western red cedar (WRC) 0.5 mg/m3 May produce asthma Oak and beech 1 mg/m3 A1\* May affect pulmonary function Δ2\* Birch, mahogany, teak, walnut 1 mg/m3 May affect pulmonary function A4\*\*\* All other species 1 mg/m3 May affect pulmonary function

A1: Confirmed Human Carcinogen \*
A2: Suspected Human Carcinogen \*\*
A3 Confirmed Animal Carcinogen

A4 Not Classifiable as a Human Carcinogen \*\*\*

A5 Not Suspected as a Human Carcinogen

Australian Exposure Standard: ES: 1 mg/m3 (certain hardwoods as beech and oak)

The majority of the wood-dust mass was reported to be contributed by particles larger than 10 um in aerodynamic diameter; however, between 61% and 65% of the particles by count measured between 1 and 5 um in diameter.

Wood-dust concentrations vary with type of dust extraction, amount of wood removed, and type of sander For electric belt sanders used to sand dowels, total dust concentrations ranged from 0.22 mg/m3 with external dust extraction to 3.74 mg/m3 without extraction, and concentrations of respirable dust ranged from 0.003 mg/m3 with extraction to 0.936 mg/m3 without extraction. Rotary sanders tested with flat wood samples produced total dust concentrations ranging from 0.002 mg/m3 with extraction to 0.699 mg/m3 without extraction; concentrations of respirable dust ranged from 0.001 mg/m3 with extraction to 0.088 mg/m3 without extraction. Comparable decreases in dust concentration were observed when dust extraction was used with electrical orbital sanders.

NOTE M: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.005% w/w benzo[a]pyrene (EINECS No 200-028-5). This note applies only to certain complex oil-derived substances in Annex IV.

European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

### **Exposure controls**

Articles or manufactured items, in their original condition, generally don't require engineering controls during handling or in normal use. Exceptions may arise following extensive use and subsequent wear, during recycling or disposal operations where substances, found in the article, may be released to the environment.

#### For wood dusts

- · Significant accumulations of fine particles of wood dust can also be a fire and explosion hazard in the workplace. Check that the design and installation of dust control equipment incorporates explosion precautions. In particular look at the location of collection equipment and the need for enclosure and/or explosion relief.
- · Keep floors free and clear from wood chips and dust.Pay particular attention to areas around machines and on or near heating units.
- · The sanding or hogging of off-cuts containing metal may produce friction sparks, which can cause sawdust to smoulder and subsequently be fanned into fires or explosions. Use dedicated collection systems for these operations. Consider spark detection and extinguishing devices where there are significant risks.
- · Hot work involving the careless use of welding or flame-cutting equipment has resulted in many incidents. To prevent this, plant should be isolated and thoroughly cleaned before work starts. Use cold cutting methods whenever possible.
- · Electrical equipment should be sited away from dusty areas. If this is not practicable, ensure it is adequately protected.

There are three main types of system for collecting wood waste and as a result reduce the possibility of worker exposure and possible dust explosions.

- · One or more woodworking machines are exhaust ventilated to a nearby collection unit within the workshop which does not form part of any other exhaust ventilation system.
- · Many (perhaps all) of the woodworking machines are ventilated to a collection unit, which can be some distance from the machines and may be inside or outside the workshop.
- One or more woodworking machines are exhaust ventilated to a nearby collection unit. These units deliver the wood waste into a larger collection unit, usually outside the workshop. This is known as a 'through flow system.

Collection units should normally be sited outside, away from areas where there may be people. If units have to be indoors, precautions will depend on the size of the collector; the size and construction of the room it is in; the number of people nearby; and how near they are to walkways and combustible materials.

To avoid the risk from secondary explosion or fire, it is essential to enforce good housekeeping practices to prevent the accumulation of wood dust within the building, eg a formal cleaning regime using appropriate vacuums fitted with HEPA-type filters.

### For unenclosed sock collectors (<0.5 m3/s capacity)

These would quickly disintegrate if the contents were ignited, but would not produce high explosion pressures or widespread effects. Fire risks may exist so, if unenclosed, do not position them within 3 m of workers, combustible materials or walkways. Alternatively, provide a suitable baffle or deflector plate or enclosure.

## For unenclosed sock collectors (0.5–2.5 m3/s capacity)

Ignition of wood dust can lead to a jet of flame at head height, but an explosion is not likely. Where such collectors must remain within the workroom, provide one of the following precautions:

Total enclosure within a strong metal cabinet with either an air outlet large enough in area to act as explosion relief or explosion vents. Outlets or vents should preferably discharge to a safe place outside the workroom or, if inside, discharge at least above head height.

A baffle or deflector plate made of non-combustible material to direct flames or burning material to a safe place.

Ensure the fan can be turned off from a safe place if a fire starts in the filter. A 3 m separation between the filter and regularly occupied locations is likely to be adequate to protect employees.

## For unenclosed sock collectors (>2.5 m3/s capacity)

Site these outside or enclose them in a strong cabinet fitted with explosion vents that discharge to a safe place.

## For enclosed sock or fabric filter collectors (<0.5 m3/s capacity)

The top of the enclosure may be open as long as it discharges to a safe place, eg above head height.

## For enclosed sock or fabric filter collectors (0.5-2.5 m3/s)

Total enclosure within a strong metal cabinet with either an air outlet large enough in area to act as explosion relief or explosion vents. Outlets or vents should preferably discharge to a safe place outside the workroom or, if inside, discharge at least above head height.

## For enclosed sock or fabric filter collectors (>2.5 m3/s)

The enclosure should be strong with explosion vents that discharge to a safe place.

### Cyclones

Well-made cyclones of less than 0.5 m3/s volume (rare in woodworking) do not usually require explosion relief panels. Larger low-efficiency cyclones usually have large enough air outlets to act as an explosion vent, but the need for additional explosion venting should be assessed. Larger high-efficiency cyclones do not usually have large enough air outlets to act as effective explosion vents and so additional venting will be necessary. Where cyclone air outlets discharge to an after filter, both the cyclone and the after filter will need explosion-relief panels.

Bins or hoppers

Where used to store explosible wood waste, these will require explosion relief appropriate to their volume. They should preferably be outdoors but, if indoors, additional explosion relief may be required on the building itself. There should also be a safe system of work for emptying bins and hoppers.

### Interconnected plan

Take precautions to prevent an explosion spreading between interconnected units of plant, such as collectors, cyclones, filters and incinerators. Collectors should discharge their collected wood waste through an explosion choke, eg a rotary valve, or directly into strong metal containers clamped firmly to the discharge outlets. Where rotary valves are intended to act as explosion chokes, they must be certified as explosion protection devices.

# Appropriate engineering

controls

Version No: 6.1

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

### Individual protection measures, such as personal protective equipment

Eye and face protection











## When sawing, machining or sanding use:

- Safety glasses with side shields.
- Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent]

#### Skin protection See Hand protection below

NOTE:

Wear general protective gloves, eg. light weight rubber gloves.

### Hands/feet protection

- ▶ The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact
- Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed.

### **Body protection**

### See Other protection below

# Other protection

- Always wear protective clothing, including shirts with long sleeves and high collars, long trousers, shoes or boots.
- Provide vacuum cleaning equipment to remove dust from clothing, where this is a problem. Prevent the use of compressed airlines for this purpose.
- Use barrier creams (silicone-free and fatty) before, during and after work.
- Always wash hands prior to going to the toilet since some wood dust may irritate the genitals and anus.
- Always wash hands prior to eating.

### Recommended material(s)

### **GLOVE SELECTION INDEX**

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the:

"Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the computergenerated selection:

OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Material	СРІ
SARANEX-23	A
PE	В

- \* CPI Chemwatch Performance Index
- A: Best Selection
- B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion
- C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

NOTE: As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

\* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

### Respiratory protection

Type A-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Selection of the Class and Type of respirator will depend upon the level of breathing zone contaminant and the chemical nature of the contaminant. Protection Factors (defined as the ratio of contaminant outside and inside the mask) may also be important.

Required minimum protection factor	Maximum gas/vapour concentration present in air p.p.m. (by volume)	Half-face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator
up to 10	1000	A-AUS / Class1 P2	-
up to 50	1000	-	A-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50	5000	Airline *	-
up to 100	5000	-	A-2 P2
up to 100	10000	-	A-3 P2
100+			Airline**

\* - Continuous Flow \*\* - Continuous-flow or positive pressure demand A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or  $hydrogen\ cyanide(HCN),\ B3=Acid\ gas\ or\ hydrogen\ cyanide(HCN),\ E=Sulfur$  $\label{eq:conditional} \mbox{dioxide(SO2), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH3), Hg = Mercury, NO = 100 \mbox{Mercury} = 100$ Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 deaC)

Respiratory protection not normally required due to the physical form of the product. Selection of the Class and Type of respirator will depend upon the level of breathing zone contaminant and the chemical nature of the contaminant. Protection Factors (defined as the ratio of contaminant outside and inside the mask) may also be important.

	Disposable respirator	Re-usable respirator	Powered respirator
All woodworking operations eg use of routers, lathes, planers, saws and vertical spindle moulders (VSMs)	Type P2 filter for low residual dust levels for lower risk woods such as pine Type P3 filter for higher residual dust levels such as when sanding (hand , disc, bobbin, pad etc.). Also for all work involving more toxic woods such as hard woods, Western red cedar and MDF	Type P2 filter fitted to either a half mask or full face mask of Class 1 or 2 Type P3 filter fitted to either a half mask or full face mask of Class 2 Note: A combined organic vapour filter Type A (organic), either Class 1 or 2, will provide protection against any formadehyde vapours present from MDF	Lightweight powered hood visor or helmet of Type TH1 equivalent protection to Type P2 filter Lightweight powered visor or helmet with Type TH2 equivalent to Type P3 filter

Version No: 6.1

## **OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber**

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

Changing dust collection bags on simple recirculating dust collectors in the workroom	Type P3 Filter	Type P3 filter fitted to either a half mask or full face mask of Class 2	Lightweight powered visor or helmet of Type TH2 equivalent to Type P3 filter
Entry into dust collection rooms/ vaults Entry into very dusty filter galleries for bag changing Work inside heavily contaminated ducts Ensure none of these are confined spaces (oxygen deficient atmosphere)	Disposable respirators not suitable	Type P3 filter fitted to full face mask of Class 2	Lightweight powered hood, visor or helmet of Type TH2 equivalent to Type P3 filter

## **SECTION 9 Physical and chemical properties**

Inf	formatio	n on	basic	phys	ical and	l chem	ical	properties
-----	----------	------	-------	------	----------	--------	------	------------

	· ·				
Appearance	Brown coloured timber with a faint coal tar odour.				
Physical state	Manufactured	Relative density (Water = 1)	Not Applicable		
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available		
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	336 (creosote)		
pH (as supplied)	Not Applicable	Decomposition temperature (°C)	Not Available		
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Applicable	Viscosity (cSt)	Not Available		
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	Not Applicable	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable		
Flash point (°C)	Not Applicable	Taste	Not Available		
Evaporation rate	Non Vol. @ 38C	Explosive properties	Not Available		
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available		
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Applicable		
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Volatile Component (%vol)	Not Available		
Vapour pressure (kPa)	Negligible	Gas group	Not Available		
Solubility in water	Immiscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Applicable		
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Applicable	VOC g/L	Not Available		

## **SECTION 10 Stability and reactivity**

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	Product is considered stable and hazardous polymerisation will not occur.
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

## **SECTION 11 Toxicological information**

## Information on toxicological effects

Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product.

The dust may be discomforting

Inhaled

Wood dust may cause nasal dryness, irritation and obstruction of the respiratory system, coughing, wheezing, and sneezing. Inhalation of hardwood dusts may decrease the ability of the nose to clear particles, causing any wood dust in the nose to remain longer in the nasal cavity. Both the type of wood what is being done to the wood to generate the wood dust have a big impact on the dust s hazards. For instance, asthma cases have been reported for workers using western red cedar, and pneumonitis has been associated with redwood dust. Some effects associated with wood dust are thought to be due to molds, bacteria, or pesticides present on the wood or to other materials used during certain woodworking activities (e.g. formaldehyde).

Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product. Ingestion

The dust may be discomforting

Chemwatch: **5300-02**Page **8** of **15**Version No: **6.1** 

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Issue Date: **10/03/2023**Print Date: **20/04/2023** 

Skin Contact

Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product. The dust may be discomforting

Eye

Not normally a hazard due to physical form of product. The dust may be discomforting

Common chronic responses to wood dust exposures are dermatitis, simple bronchitis and non asthmatic chronic airflow obstruction. Wood is an organic substrate for growth of micro-organisms and fungal spores, these readily become airborne with wood dust and have caused a variety of respiratory infections Various woods, mainly tropical varieties, are able to induce allergies in joiners, carpenters, cabinet makers and model-makers. Allergies of the immediate type (rhino conjunctivitis, bronchial asthma, urticaria), caused by contact with dusts produced during wood-working and those of a delayed type (contact eczema) caused by both the dust and by direct contact with the solid wood, are seen in an occupational setting. Because of the large number of substances found in wood, only a few low molecular weight allergens have been isolated and identified; these are mostly quinone or flavone derivatives. Many of the constituents of wood may also cause primary irritation. Irritation of the skin, eyes and respiratory passages are often distinguished from allergic responses with difficulty.

The use of skin tests with wood dusts to confirm suspected allergy must be viewed as suspect because the high concentration of wood components which are sometimes applied, can actually produce new sensitisation in test subjects. It should also be noted that cross-reactions or reactions to groups of similar substances, in other woods and also in other herbaceous plants can also occur. The substances in wood responsible for respiratory allergies are probably mostly high molecular weight substances. Wood dusts may induce asthmatic reactions of both the immediate and delayed types, and occasionally, both. Positive results in bronchial provocation tests, are often, but not always, associated with positive results in skin tests and IgE induction. Bronchial provocation tests may produce different results dependent on whether they are carried out with course or fine dusts or with lyophilised aqueous extracts. Very course dust may produce false negatives and very fine dust may produce false positives (irritation). Non-allergenic bronchial and nasal irritation are seen frequently.

Certain exotic woods contain alkaloids which may produce headache, anorexia, nausea, bradycardia and dyspnea. Agents used to treat wood (preservatives, fungicides, stains, glues, pore fillers) may themselves be responsible for allergic reaction. Other allergic reactions may be provoked by liverworts ("Frullania dermatitis"), lichens, fungi (e.g. bronchopulmonary aspergillosis), actinomycetes or other plants which grow on wood. Microorganisms and fungal spores, associated with wood, may become airborne and provoke allergic responses. Other chronic responses associated with exposure to wood dusts include conjunctivitis, simple bronchitis and non-asthmatic chronic airflow obstruction.

Epidemiologic studies in furniture workers show an increased risk of lung, tongue, pharynx and nasal cancer (adenocarcinoma). Workers in timber industries, with a history of exposure to wood dust, have shown increased occurrence of lung, liver and vocal cavity cancer. An excess risk of leukaemia amongst mill-wrights probably is associated with various components used in wood preservation. It is now suggested that sinonasal cancers may be caused by both hardwoods and softwoods (1). The causative agent or agents are unknown although certain aldehydes or their quinone oxidation products have been implicated. Exposure standards for the softwoods reflect the apparent low risk for upper respiratory tract involvement among workers in the building industry. A significantly lower exposure standard for hardwoods is based on impaired nasal mucociliary hyperplasia reported to contribute to nasal adenocarcinoma and related hyperplasia in furniture workers. Exposure standards for both hard and softwoods specifically exclude the issue of occupational asthma and related allergic respiratory response associated with exposure to red cedar dusts and similar woods.

Chronic

The main components of wood are polysaccharides: cellulose (40-50 wt%) and hemicelluloses (20–35%), while lignin comprises 15–30% of wood mass.3 In addition to these macromolecules, wood contains a small amount of inorganic residues and extractives, which are low molar mass molecules. Extractives include a heterogeneous group of aliphatic and cyclic compounds: terpenes and terpenoids, esters of fatty acids, fatty acids, alcohols, alkanes, simple phenols, stilbenes, lignans, isoflavones, condensed tannins, flavonoids and hydrolyzable tannins. Wood phenolic compounds may possess bioactive functions; in vitro studies suggest that they may act as antioxidants. Due to the close association of lignin and extractives with cellulose and hemicelluloses, low amounts of these compounds commonly exist in hemicellulose or cellulose extracts and can, thus, be considered as "co-passengers" of fibrous materials. While wood extracts are neither presently nor extensively used in food ingredients, they have a long history in food supplement use. Softwood extracts have also received attention in the biomedical field; spruce hemicellulose extract was patented for "use on the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms and diseases".

The presence of mycotoxins is unlikely given the production procedure (particularly as there was no significant delay between grinding and extraction). The possibility of fungal contamination on the tree stumps is also unlikely since, firstly, these stumps come from felled wood which is therefore healthy, and secondly, if a fungal contamination were to appear (in the event that the stumps were not collected quickly after the trees were felled), this would essentially be an external contamination which would be eliminated when the stumps were examined before the grinding process.

Radionuclide monitoring checks should be carried out systematically for all batches.

Practical evidence shows that inhalation of the material is capable of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a substantial number of individuals at a greater frequency than would be expected from the response of a normal population.

Pulmonary sensitisation, resulting in hyperactive airway dysfunction and pulmonary allergy may be accompanied by fatigue, malaise and aching. Significant symptoms of exposure may persist for extended periods, even after exposure ceases. Symptoms can be activated by a variety of nonspecific environmental stimuli such as automobile exhaust, perfumes and passive smoking.

Practical experience shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a substantial number of individuals, and/or of producing a positive response in experimental animals.

Substances that can cause occupational asthma (also known as asthmagens and respiratory sensitisers) can induce a state of specific airway hyper-responsiveness via an immunological, irritant or other mechanism. Once the airways have become hyper-responsive, further exposure to the substance, sometimes even to tiny quantities, may cause respiratory symptoms. These symptoms can range in severity from a runny nose to asthma. Not all workers who are exposed to a sensitiser will become hyper-responsive and it is impossible to identify in advance who are likely to become hyper-responsive.

Substances than can cuase occupational asthma should be distinguished from substances which may trigger the symptoms of asthma in people with pre-existing air-way hyper-responsiveness. The latter substances are not classified as asthmagens or respiratory sensitisers Wherever it is reasonably practicable, exposure to substances that can cuase occupational asthma should be prevented. Where this is not possible the primary aim is to apply adequate standards of control to prevent workers from becoming hyper-responsive.

Activities giving rise to short-term peak concentrations should receive particular attention when risk management is being considered. Health surveillance is appropriate for all employees exposed or liable to be exposed to a substance which may cause occupational asthma and there should be appropriate consultation with an occupational health professional over the degree of risk and level of surveillance.

May cause CANCER.

OneFortyOne Creosote	TOXICITY	IRRITATION	
Treated Timber	Not Available	Not Available	
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION	
creosote, coal tar	Oral (Mouse) LD50; 433 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Not Available	
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION	
wood dust	Not Available	Not Available	
Legend:	Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances		

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Page 9 of 15 Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Version No: 6.1

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Print Date: 20/04/2023

Somnolence, convulsions, repiratory depression, maternal effects, foetotoxicity, skin tumours recorded.

The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product.

Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.

The production of wood creosote, coal tar creosote, coal tar, coal tar pitch, and coal tar pitch volatiles, stems from the incomplete combustion or pyrolysis of carbon-containing materials. Creosotes, coal tar, coal tar pitch, and coal tar pitch volatiles are composed of many individual compounds of varying physical and chemical characteristics. In addition, the composition of each, although referred to by specific name (e.g., wood creosote or coal tar creosote) is not consistent. Coal tars are by-products of the carbonization of coal to produce coke or natural gas. Physically, they are usually viscous liquids or semisolids that are black or dark brown with a naphthalene-like odor. The coal tars are complex combinations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols, heterocyclic oxygen, sulfur, and nitrogen compounds. By comparison, coal tar creosotes are distillation products of coal tar. They have an oily liquid consistency and range in color from yellowish-dark green to brown. At least 75% of the coal tar creosote mixture is PAHs. Unlike the coal tars and coal tar creosotes, coal tar pitch is a residue produced during the distillation of coal tar. (Beech)wood creosote consists mainly of phenol, cresols, guaiacol, xylenol, and creosol. Creosote bush resin consists of phenolic (e.g., flavonoids and nordihydroguaiaretic acid), neutral (e.g., waxes), basic (e.g., alkaloids), and acidic (e.g., phenolic acids) compounds. The phenolic portion comprises 83-91% of the total resin. Nordihydrogualaretic acid accounts for 5-10% of the dry weight of the leaves.

It is likely that the toxicity of wood creosote, coal tar creosote, coal tar, coal tar pitch, and coal tar pitch volatiles is due largely to the major individual components, phenols, PAHs and others.

No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.

For "distillates of coal tar" or 'creosotes.

Critical Health Effects

The critical health effects for risk characterisation are systemic long-term effects including carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity. The chemicals are also considered to be phototoxic and have the potential to cause skin irritation and sensitisation and mild respiratory irritation.

Limited data are available. Toxicological data indicate that the chemicals are absorbed via all routes of exposure (WHO, 2004). The PAHs can be absorbed through the respiratory tract, the gastrointestinal tract and the skin. Following absorption, PAHs are widely distributed throughout the body to all internal organs. During metabolism, the parent compounds are converted via intermediate epoxides to phenols, diols, and tetrols, which then conjugate with sulfate or glucuronic acids or with glutathione (IPCS, 1998).

Observation in humans

Evidence of skin, eye and respiratory irritation in humans following exposure to creosote have been reported (ATSDR, 2002). Skin irritation, eczema and folliculitis were noted when an industrial health survey was conducted of workers exposed to coal tar creosote (ATSDR, 2002). In these workers, the effects of dermal irritation were reported as being exacerbated by exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light. The phototoxic effects of several PAHs were compared by treating human fibroblasts with these PAHs and then irradiating them with ultraviolet light (<400 nm). A good correlation was found between the phototoxic effects and known carcinogenic potential (IPCS, 1998). Studies involving workers included reported instances of irritation to superficial ocular tissues after being exposed to coal tar creosote; this was exacerbated after exposure to the sun (ATSDR, 2002).

Limited data are available. Distillates, coal tar, naphthalene oils (CAS No. 84650-04-4), gave positive results in a single local lymph node assay (LLNA). Creosote (CAS No. 8001-58-9) was found to induce dermal sensitisation when tested according to OECD TG 406 in a guinea pig maximisation test (GPMT) using Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (REACH). Overall, the available data support classification for all the chemicals in

An LLNA study (OECD TG 429) was conducted in female BALB/c mice (n = 5/concentration) with coal tar distillates, naphthalene oils (CAS No. 84650-04-4), using a 40 % dimethylacetamide, 30 % acetone and 30 % ethanol (DAE 433) mixture as a vehicle. The test concentrations of 0.3, 3 and 30 % had a simulation index (SI) of 1.36, 1.41 and 5.88 respectively. The positive control, dinitrochlorobenzene at a 0.5 % concentration, gave an SI of 11.55. The three-fold increase in lymphocyte proliferation (EC3 value) could not be calculated (REACHc).

In a GPMT (OECD TG 406) with creosote (CAS No. 8001-58-9), positive skin reactions were reported in 17/19 animals after 24 hours (average Draize score = 1.2) and 6/19 animals after 48 hours (average Draize score = 0.4) (REACHb). Repeated Dose Toxicity

Oral

CREOSOTE, COAL TAR

Limited data are available regarding the non-cancer effects of the chemicals.

The chemicals in this group are not considered to cause serious damage to health through repeated oral exposure based on the no observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) (generally >100 mg/kg bw/day) reported for the following 2-4-ring PAHs: -naphthalene;

- -acenaphthene;
- -fluorene:
- -fluoranthene: and
- pyrene.

Effects on the liver, kidney and blood were observed at higher doses (IPCS, 1998). Dermal

Limited data are available regarding the non-cancer effects of the chemicals.

Inhalation

Limited data are available regarding the non-cancer effect of the chemicals.

Male Fischer 344 rats were exposed to high-boiling coal liquid (heavy distillate) via inhalation (700 mg/m3) for six hours/day, five days/week for six weeks. A 20 % increase in arterial blood pressure and heart rate was reported, although it was not determined if the response was exposurerelated. The growth rate of the rats was reported as suppressed during the time of the study (ATSDR, 2002).

Repeated dose toxicity (inhalation) was determined by exposing 20 (sex/dose) Charles River (CD) rats to CAS No. 90640-86-1 (as distilled coal tar) (5.4, 49 and 106 mg/m3) for six hours/day, five days/week for 13 weeks. A decrease in body weight was recorded as significant in both sexes in the mid- and high-range dose groups during the sixth week of exposure. A treatment related increase in weight was reported in the lung/trachea/body weight ratio and was consistent with macroscopic observation of grey discolouration of the lungs and microscopic observation of macrophages in the lungs. Increases in liver weight (mid-dose group) and liver/body weight ratio (mid- and high-dose group) were recorded in male animals. Increases in the liver weight (high-dose group), liver/body weight ratio and liver/brain weight ratio (mid- and high-dose group) were recorded in the female animals. Reversible hypertrophy of the thyroid follicular cells reported as related to a reduction of colloid was reported at all dose levels. A NOAEL of 5.4 mg/m3 was reported for this study (REACHb).

Observation in humans

Mild respiratory effects, including reduced lung function, have been reported in workers using coal tar creosote in wood preservative plants. Genotoxicity

Several of the chemicals (CAS No. 73665-18-6, CAS No. 84650-03-3 and CAS No. 84650-04-4) are classified as hazardous—Category 2 mutagenic substance—with the risk phrase 'May cause heritable genetic damage (T; R46) in the HSIS (Safe Work Australia). The available data support this classification for all the chemicals in this group, although the associated annotations will differ for each chemical (refer Recommendation section).

For the chemicals CAS No. 84650-03-3 and CAS No. 84650-04-4, in vitro data using the reverse mutation assays with various strains of Salmonella typhimurium were negative for genotoxicity (REACH). No compositional information was available but these chemicals are lower

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Page 10 of 15 Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Version No: 6.1

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Print Date: 20/04/2023

boiling point distillate fractions that are likely to contain aromatics, tar bases and acids (see Grouping rationale). The classification of these chemicals is dependent on benzene concentration (refer to Existing Worker Health and Safety Controls: Hazard Classification section). Benzene is classified as hazardous—Category 2 mutagenic substance—with the risk phrase 'May cause heritable genetic damage (T; R46) in the HSIS (Safe Work Australia).

The chemical, CAS No. 90640-86-1 was positive in a reverse mutation assay in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98 and TA1537 in the presence of metabolic activation. Weakly positive responses were also observed in strains TA100 and TA102. The sample was reported to contain >50 ppm B[a]P.

Various creosotes have been reported to produce a positive response in vitro. Almost all creosotes tested showed mutagenic activity after metabolic activation (S9 mix) in the conventional Ames assay with S. typhimurium TA98. Positive results were also obtained with several other S. typhimurium TA or YG strains, or with the mouse lymphoma cell assay and the sister chromatid exchange test with Chinese hamster ovary cells. A common feature in the tests with Salmonella strains TA98 and TA100 (plus S9 mix) was that the mutagenicity appeared in the distillation fractions having the highest boiling point ranges (>290 °C) and high concentrations of known mutagenic PAHs (WHO, 2004). A creosote reported to contain <50 ppm B[a]P was tested according to OECD 476 (in vitro mouse lymphoma gene mutation assay). The chemical showed a weak positive mutagenic activity in the presence of metabolic activation. A creosote containing <50 ppm B[a]P did not induce chromosome aberrations in human lymphocytes cultures in the presence and absence of metabolic activation (REACHb).

DNA adduct formation in mammalian systems has been observed following exposure to creosote, with adducts in rats (liver) and mice (lungs, forestomach and spleen) (ATSDR, 2002). A commercially available coal tar creosote was positive in an in vivo mouse micronucleus assay. The CD-1 male mice received two intraperitoneal (i.p.)injections (with an interval of 24 hours) of creosote (in olive oil) at concentrations of 92.5, 185. or 370 mg/kg bw. Dose-dependent increases in the frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in bone marrow were observed. A single intraperitoneal treatment of 370 mg/kg body weight also induced micronuclei (WHO, 2004). A creosote reported to contain <50 ppm B[a]P was reported to be negative in an in vivo mouse micronucleus test (REACHb). Genotoxicity of PAHs

The chemicals have the potential to contain fluoranthene and chrysene as well as higher molecular weight PAHs that are genotoxic, including benz[a]anthracene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[a]pyrene, dibenz[a,h]anthracene, and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IARC, 2010; IARC, 2012; NICNAS). Positive effects were seen in most assays for the mutagenicity of B[a]P, including induced sperm abnormalities in mice (IPCS, 1998). Data for B[a]P are considered sufficient to indicate that the chemicals could induce mutations in germ cells. Carcinogenicity

The chemicals are classified as hazardous—Category 2 carcinogenic substances—with the risk phrase 'May cause cancer (T; R45) in the HSIS (Safe Work Australia). The available data support this classification for all the chemicals in this group, although the associated notes will differ for each chemical (refer Recommendation section).

Several creosote or cresosote oils produced skin tumours in mice following dermal application. Lung tumours were also reported in one study. Worker exposure to creosotes has been associated with an increased risk of testicular cancer. The only available cohort study was considered limited by its small size (IARC, 1985; IARC, 2010).

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) concluded that creosotes are probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A). This was based on limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans and sufficient evidence in experimental animals (IARC, 2010).

There are a number of potential carcinogenic components of the chemicals. There is sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of four membered PAHs such as chrysene and pyrene and also several higher molecular weight PAHs (IARC, 2010; IARC 2012). The classification of a number of chemicals in this group is subject to note M (refer to Existing Worker Health and Safety Controls: Hazard Classification section), which exempts classification if it can be shown that the substance contains <0.005 % w/w B[a]P (50 ppm). No data have been identified regarding the rationale for note M. However, in the absence of detailed composition details, this is considered reasonable as, whilst several carcinogenic PAHs might be present as constituents in these chemicals at levels similar or higher than B[a]P, the cut-off concentration for mixtures containing category 1 carcinogens is 0.1 % (several orders of magnitude higher than 0.005 %).

The classification of some of the lower boiling point distillate fractions are subject to note J (refer to Existing Worker Health and Safety Controls: Hazard Classification section), which exempts classification if it can be shown that the substance contains <0.1% w/w benzene. Benzene is classified as hazardous, a Category 1 carcinogenic substance, with the risk phrase 'May cause cancer (T; R45) in the HSIS (Safe Work

Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Overall, the reproductive and developmental data are limited for chemicals in the group, although the data for higher molecular weight PAHs are considered sufficient for classification for all chemicals except the lower boiling point distillate fractions (CAS Nos. 84650-03-3 and 84650-04-4). The associated notes will differ for each chemical.

In a two-generation study, the chemical, distillates, coal tar, heavy oils (CAS No. 90640-86-1), was administered via oral gavage (25, 75 and 150 mg/kg bw/day) to male and female CD rats (26/sex/dose). At all dose levels, decrease in body weight during the pre-mating period was observed and recorded as dose-related. Decreased fertility and pregnancy indices in the F1 female parental rats were recorded at all dose levels (25, 75, 150 mg/kg bw/d). There was a significant dose-related reduction in the number of live F1 offspring at doses 375 mg/kg bw/d. A dose-related decrease in growth of the F1 offspring was reported, starting at 25 mg/kg bw/d. Although the NOAEL is reported as 25 mg/kg bw/d (REACHb), reproductive effects were indicated at all doses

In a developmental toxicity study, the chemical, distillates, coal tar, heavy oils (CAS No. 90640-86-1), was administered via oral gavage (25, 50 and 175 mg/kg bw/day) to 30 (per dose) mated female CD rats, during gestation day(GD) 6-15. Increases in post implantation loss, resorptions and a reduction in live foetuses were observed in 175 mg/kg bw/day group. Developmental toxicity was not observed at doses of 50 mg/kg bw/day or lower. Malformations were observed in all dose groups, although the incidences were significantly higher in the mid- and high-dose groups. These were historically common malformations and not considered by the study authors to be treatment related. There were no adverse effects observed for late intrauterine development of live foetuses in any dose group. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was reported as 50 mg/kg bw/d and for teratogenicity 175 mg/kg bw/d (REACHb).

Coal tar creosote was tested for oestrogenic activity using an assay in ovariectomised (OVX) ICR and DBA/2 mice. The animals received oral doses (by gavage) once every 24 hours for four days and were euthanised on day five. No increase in absolute or relative uterine wet weight or vaginal cornification was observed.

A decrease in mean foetal body weight was observed in the offspring of female ICR mice dosed by gavage with 400 mg/kg petroleum creosote in DMSO on GD 5-9. Moderate maternal toxicity in the form of reduced body weight gain was observed for both creosote-treated and vehiclecontrol mice compared with untreated controls. (ATSDR, 2002; WHO, 2004).

Embryotoxicity of petroleum creosote has been studied in a mouse preimplantation embryo culture system. The ICR mice embryos (n = 15) collected on day 3.5 of gestation (blastocyst stage) were exposed for 1 hour to different concentrations of creosote in a serum-supplemented culture medium with and without rodent hepatic S9 microsomal fractions, and subsequently cultured in a control medium for 24–72 hours. Embryonic viability was inversely related to petroleum creosote concentration (WHO, 2004).

An experiment with pregnant pigs, held on wooden platforms treated with coal tar creosote, resulted in adverse developmental effects. A significant number (24/41) of piglets died at birth and 11 piglets died by day three post farrowing.

The chemicals may contain several higher molecular weight PAHs that are embryotoxic. B[a]P also had adverse effects on female fertility, reproduction and postnatal development (IPCS, 1998).

The chemicals are recommended for classification and labelling under the current approved criteria and adopted GHS as below. This assessment does not consider classification of physical and environmental hazards.

The classification criteria for mixtures should be applied to known components based on their concentrations in these UVCB substances. In the absence of detailed composition data the following notes should be applied. Information on notes

A note should be added for the acute toxicity classification. The acute toxicity R23 classification need not apply if it can be shown that the chemical contains <8 % pyrene; however, R20 classification applies if the chemicals contains >1 % pyrene.

The current HSIS classification for carcinogenicity of the chemicals indicated Note H. Note H is no longer considered relevant for these chemicals as the acute, systemic and local effects of the chemicals have been evaluated.

The classification for CAS Nos. 61789-28-4, 65996-91-0, 65996-92-1, 68188-48-7, 73665-18-6. 84650-04-4 and 91995-51-6 are subject to Note M (refer to Existing Worker Health and Safety Controls: Hazard Classification section), which exempts classification if it can be shown that the

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Page 11 of 15 Version No: 6.1

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

substance contains <0.005 % w/w B[a]P (50 ppm). Given that Note M for carcinogenicity is considered appropriate for these chemicals and the cut-off concentration for mixtures is similar for the mutagenicity, reproductive/developmental and carcinogenicity classifications, a similar note for the proposed genotoxicity and reproductive/developmental classification is considered appropriate. Therefore, Note M should be slightly modified as follows:

'Note M: The classification (with the exception of classification for acute toxicity and sensitisation) need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.005% w/w benzo[a]pyrene (EINECS no. 200-028-5). This note only applies to certain complex coal-derived substances in Annex I.

The classification for CAS Nos. 84650-03-3, 84650-04-4 and 73665-18-6 are subject to Note J (refer to Existing Worker Health and Safety Controls: Hazard Classification section), which exempts classification if it can be shown that the substance contains <0.1% w/w benzene. These chemicals are described as including lower boiling point distillation fractions and therefore Note J is considered appropriate. Based on the description of CAS No. 65996-92-1 ('The distillate from coal tar having an approximate distillation range of 100 deg C to 450 deg C (212 deg F to 842 deg F). Composed primarily of two to four membered condensed ring aromatic hydrocarbons, phenolic compounds, and aromatic nitrogen bases.' (NCI)). Note J is also considered applicable to this chemical.

The classification for CAS Nos. 8001-58-9 and 90640-86-1 are not subject to any notes. The lack of a note may be because the chemicals under these CAS Nos. might not be available in sufficiently purified forms. In the absence of further information, the addition of note M is not recommended.

NICNAS HUMAN HEALTH TIER II ASSESSMENT FOR Coal Tar Distillates

http://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemical-information/imap-assessments/imap-group-assessment-report?assessment\_id=1442

WARNING: This substance has been classified by the IARC as Group 2A: Probably Carcinogenic to Humans.

### For wood dusts:

Wood dusts may cause respiratory symptoms including sensitisation and diminished respiratory function and may also be carcinogenic. OSHA has determined that the health evidence for the toxicity of wood dust cannot be separately distinguished for soft wood and hard wood. A final OSHA ruling however establishes an 8-hour TWA PEL of 2.5 mg/m3 for Western red cedar wood dust, based on its widely recognized ability to cause immune-system-mediated allergic sensitization. Evidence in the record demonstrates the seriousness of this effect.

Wood dust is defined as any wood particles arising from the processing or handling of woods. Hard woods derive from the deciduous broadleaved flowering species of trees, and soft woods include the coniferous species that do not shed their leaves in the winter. The distinction between hard woods and soft woods is purely botanical. Many so-called "softwoods" are actually hard (i.e., Douglas fir as a softwood is harder than the hardwood birch) and one of the softest woods in existence (balsa) is botanically a hardwood.

Some commentators were of the opinion that many other woods, such as Douglas fir, pine, red and white oak, redwood, walnut, spruce, boxwood, cocobolo, teak, mahogany, and others, should also be designated by OSHA as allergenic in this rulemaking. However, OSHA finds that "it is unlikely that species other than WRC are responsible for large numbers of cases of respiratory allergies".

Other commonly used woods such as oak, birch, redwood, pine, teak, alder, and hemlock, produce pulmonary effects that are less well described than the asthma responses to Western red cedar.

OSHA is establishing a PEL of 5 mg/m3 as an 8-hour TWA and 10 mg/m3 as a 15-minute STEL for hard and soft wood dust, with the exception of Western red cedar. OSHA concludes that promulgation of these exposure limits will substantially reduce the significant risk of material impairment in the form of pulmonary dysfunction (including changes in peak flow, interference with mucociliary clearance, respiratory symptoms, and chronic effects) that is associated with exposure to wood dust at the higher levels that would be permitted in the absence of any limit. Carcinogenicity The association between occupational exposure to wood dust and various forms of cancer has been explored in many studies and in many countries. In 1987, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified furniture manufacturing in Category I (confirmed human carcinogen) and carpentry in Category 2B (suspected human carcinogen). IARC concludes that there is sufficient evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of wood dust. (Group 1) Wood dust causes cancer of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses and of the nasopharynx. IARC also concludes that there is inadequate evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of wood dust. In 1998, IARC issued the results of its detailed analyses of the combined results from 17 studies of nasal cancers and wood dust exposures. These analyses supported IARC's earlier conclusions and led to the following findings:

- Excess sino-nasal cancers were seen primarily in studies of European furniture makers
- The degree of risk was increased in workers with the highest level and length of exposure
- Observed risk levels were lower in studies of U.S. populations, possibly due to differences in the types of exposures that had occurred (e.g., exposures to different types of wood).

Based on its analyses, IARC has concluded that wood dust may cause "adenocarcinomas of the nasal cavities and paranasal sinuses". This is a specific type of cancer in a specific region in the respiratory tract. IARC did not find sufficient evidence to associate wood dust exposure with other types of cancer of the nasal cavities (e.g., squamous cell carcinomas) or cancers in other parts of the body, such as the oropharynyx, hypopharynx, lung, lymphatic and haematopoietic systems, stomach, colon or rectum.

Dust particles may act as carriers for genotoxic agents. Chromium compounds are often present in oak and beech dusts as they are frequently used in the wood-processing industry, particularly as potassium dichromate in stains as well as fixing agents in wood preservatives. Stained furniture is made largely from oak and beech as they contain enough tannic acid to allow for chemical staining Direct genotoxic effects of wood

dust extracts were summarized by IARC (1995). Dust particles may act as carriers for genotoxic agents. Chromium compounds are often present in oak and beech dusts as they are frequently used in the wood-processing industry, particularly as potassium dichromate in stains as well as fixing agents in wood preservatives.

Direct genotoxic effects of wood dust extracts were summarized by IARC (1995). Dust particles may act as carriers for genotoxic agents. Chromium compounds are often present in oak and beech dusts as they are frequently used in the wood-processing industry, particularly as potassium dichromate in stains as well as fixing agents in wood preservatives

Stained furniture is made largely from oak and beech as they contain enough tannic acid to allow for chemical staining.

Exposure to hexavalent chromium has been associated with the development of sinonasal cancers.

NIOSH (Ex. 8-47) considers both hard and soft wood dust to be potentially carcinogenic in humans; for soft wood dust, NIOSH recommends a separate 6(b) rulemaking (Ex. 8-47, Table N6B). NIOSH concurred, however, with the proposed PEL of 1 mg/m3 TWA for hard wood dust. Several chemicals were isolated from wood extracts, but only quercetin and delta-3 -carene were shown to be mutagenic (IARC, 1995) Summary of evidence for nasal and sinus cavity cancers. NIOSH (1987a/Ex. 1-1005) concluded that the literature clearly demonstrates an association between occupational wood dust exposure and nasal cancer. English studies first identified this link by showing a 10- to 20-times greater incidence of nasal adenocarcinoma among woodworkers in the furniture industry than among other woodworkers and 100 times greater than in the general population. In the United States, three studies have reported a fourfold risk of nasal cancer or adenocarcinoma in furniture workers, and another study noted a similar relationship between nasal cancer and wood dust exposure. One other study failed to find such an association for furniture workers, but did find an increase among logging and timber industry workers.

The association between lung cancer and occupational wood dust exposure is inconclusive, although several epidemiological studies have reported increases in lung cancer among wood-dust-exposed workers. A significant excess of malignant tumours of the bronchus and lung in carpenters and joiners. Only construction workers showed a statistically significant increase in lung cancer rate

Although the data are conflicting, several epidemiological studies of U.S. workers do report increases in the incidence of Hodgkin's disease among woodworkers. This excess is particularly apparent among carpenters

Data on the relationship between occupational exposure to wood dust and the development of cancers other than nasal, Hodgkin's disease, or lung cancers are insufficient and inconclusive

Copper chrome arsenic (CCA) is used widely to treat timber in both industrial and domestic situations. CCA is a water-borne preservative and contains copper, chromium and arsenic salts dissolved in water. Exposure to CCA is considered a potential health risk mainly because some arsenic and chromium compounds are known to cause cancer. It is recommended practice that freshly treated timber is stored at the treatment plant for at least two weeks (and up to 6 weeks) to ensure fixation and surface drying of the CCA. Timber for domestic or playground use should also be surface washed prior to distribution.

Exposure to wood dust has long been associated with a variety of adverse health effects, including dermatitis, allergic respiratory effects, mucosal and non-allergic respiratory effects, and cancer. The toxicity data in animals are limited, particularly with regard to exposure to wood dust alone; there are, however, a large number of studies in humans. There are a large number of case reports, epidemiological studies, and

WOOD DUST

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Page 12 of 15 Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Version No: 6.1

### OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Print Date: 20/04/2023

other data on the health effects of wood dust exposure in humans. Dermatitis caused by exposure to wood dusts is common, and can be caused either by chemical irritation, sensitization (allergic reaction), or both of these together. As many as 300 species of trees have been implicated in wood-caused dermatitis.

Allergic respiratory responses are mediated by the immune system, as is also the case with allergic dermatitis. Asthma is the most common response to wood dust exposure, and the allergic nature of such reactions has been demonstrated by the presence of IgE antibodies and positive skin reactions on patch testing. The best-studied of the allergic reactions to wood dust is Western red cedar (WRC) asthma; it is estimated that 5 percent of the workers handling this species are allergic to it.

The symptoms of sensitization are redness, scaling, and itching, which may progress to vesicular dermatitis and, after repeated exposures, to chronic dermatitis. The parts of the body most often affected are the hands, forearms, eyelids, face, neck, and genitals. This form of dermatitis generally appears after a few days or weeks of contact.

The chemicals associated with allergic reactions are generally found in the inner parts of a tree, e.g., the heartwood, and the workers most prone to these reactions are those involved in secondary wood processing (e.g., carpenters, joiners, and finishers).

Cereal flours are used in the wood industry to improve the quality of the glues necessary to produce veneer panels and are a potential source of sensitising substances. Cereal alpha-amylase inhibitors have been previously described as important occupational allergens responsible for baker's asthma. IgE proteins belong to the cereal alpha-amylase inhibitor family have been identified in the sera of several wood workers Exposure to microorganisms that grow on wood can also cause potential health effects. Endotoxins from bacteria and allergenic fungi growing on wood are the main biohazards found in wood processing workplaces. Exposure to these biohazards can cause adverse health effects such as organic dust toxic syndrome (ODTS), bronchitis, asthma, extrinsic allergic alveolitis (EAA), and mucous membrane irritation. The fungi predominantly associated with EAA and ODTS are dry spored species such as Aspergillus and Penicillium.

A large number of studies have demonstrated that occupational exposure to wood dust causes both statistically significant and non-significant increases in respiratory symptoms at exposure levels as low as 2 mg/m3. These symptoms range from irritation to bleeding, wheezing, sinusitis, and prolonged colds. In addition, chronic wood dust exposure causes mucociliary stasis (i.e., the absence of effective clearance) in the nose and. in some workers, also causes changes in the nasal mucosa. Several studies have demonstrated decreased pulmonary function among wood-dust-exposed workers, although other studies have not confirmed these findings. One study relates exposure level to ventilatory function. In that study, exposure to concentrations of 2 mg/m3 of WRC dust caused significant decreases in forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume. Exposures to concentrations above 3 mg/m3 produced eye irritation.

Mucosal and non-allergic respiratory effects have also been demonstrated. These changes include nasal dryness, irritation, bleeding, and obstruction; coughing, wheezing, and sneezing; sinusitis; and prolonged colds. These symptoms have been observed even at wood dust concentrations below 4 mg/m3. Workers (carpenters, sawmill workers, woodworkers) exposed from 3 to 24 years to the dust of several different hard woods showed radiologic evidence of pulmonary abnormalities. In all of these workers, mucociliary movement was markedly depressed, leading these authors to conclude that exposure to wood dust in the furniture industry for 10 years or more can impair mucociliary clearance. A respiratory survey in pulp and paper mill workers showed that workers exposed to wood dust at a mean total dust concentration of 0.5 mg/m3 had a slight but statistically significant decrease in pulmonary function values compared with controls. The authors concluded that the chemical preservatives used to treat the wood could also have been responsible for these adverse effects.

A further study found that exposure to higher (10+ mg-years/m3), as compared with lower (0 to 2 mg-years/m3), dust concentrations was associated with a statistically significant and higher incidence of decreased pulmonary function. However, dose-response effects were observed only for soft wood (i.e., pine) dusts. Yet another study found no correlation between years of exposure to pine wood dust and pulmonary function. A study of Italian woodworkers showed that the number of wood-dust-exposed workers who had developed anosmia (loss of smell) was significantly higher than in a control group of non-exposed workers. This confirmed was confirmed in other workers exposed to hardwood dusts. Exposure to wood dust can cause chronic obstructive lung disease. Exposure to saw fumes containing terpenes, which is a constituent of wood, also causes chronic obstructive impairment in lung function.

Medium density fibre boards (MDF) is widely used in the joinery and furniture industry as well as in building and housing construction. The major constituents of MDF particle boards are pulverised softwood and urea-formaldehyde resin, both of which are recognised as potential health hazards in the working environment. MDF produces very fine dust during processing and the dust particles act as a carrier of absorbed formaldehyde to the lower airways of the lungs. Wood dust and formaldehyde together have been reported to cause respiratory irritation with symptoms of dryness of the throat, rhinitis and eye irritation as well as occupational skin disease.

Groups of male guinea pigs were injected intratracheally with suspensions containing 75 mg of sheesham or mango wood dust or of hemp or bagasse fibers, or 20 mg of jute fiber. Lung examination revealed that, at 90 days, Grade I fibrosis of the lungs had occurred in the guinea pigs injected with mango or jute, while those treated with sheesham or hemp had developed Grade II pulmonary fibrosis.

In another experiment involving guinea pigs, animals were exposed by inhalation to average respirable dust concentrations of 1143 mg/m3 for 30 minutes/day, 5 days/week for 24 weeks. Histopathological examination showed lung changes, described as moderate to severe, in all exposed guinea pigs. The changes seen included an increase in septal connective tissue components and aggregation of lymphocytes; however, no pulmonary fibrosis or extensive destruction of the parenchymal tissue occurred. The study concluded that exposure to fir bark dust may cause inflammatory changes in the lung.

Two studies examined the effect of exposing Syrian golden hamsters to beech wood dust by inhalation, with or without concurrent administration of the known carcinogen diethylnitrosamine (DEN).

In Study I was given the DEN doses only (positive control), and the fourth group was given no exposure at all (negative control). Four hamsters exposed to wood dust and DEN exhibited squamous cell papillomas of the trachea, as did three animals in the positive control group and one in the negative control group. No differences in organs other than the respiratory organs were seen between the treated and control groups. In Study II, there were 24 animals in each of four groups. Two groups of animals were exposed to fresh beech wood dust at a mean total dust concentration of 30 mg/m3 for six hours/day, five days/week for 40 weeks. All DEN-exposed hamsters had nasal lesions ranging from hyperplasias and dysplasias to papillomas. In addition, half of all DEN-exposed hamsters developed nasal adenocarcinomas, whether or not they had also been exposed to wood dust. Half of the DEN-exposed animals also had papillomas of the larynx and trachea. In the wood-dustexposure-only group, two of the animals had nasal lesions, one of which was an unclassifiable malignant nasal tumor and the other of which consisted of focal metaplasia with mild dysplasia. The study concluded that exposure to wood dust did not increase the tumour incidence in DEN-exposed animals but did affect the respiratory tract of all exposed animals.

Acute Toxicity	×	Carcinogenicity	<b>~</b>
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	×	Reproductivity	×
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	×	STOT - Single Exposure	×
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	<b>✓</b>	STOT - Repeated Exposure	×
Mutagenicity	×	Aspiration Hazard	×

Leaend:

★ - Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification

Data available to make classification

### **SECTION 12 Ecological information**

### Toxicity

Q	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Version No: 6.1

### **OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber**

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Valu	ıe	Source
	EC50(ECx)	1416h	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.01	2mg/l	4
creosote, coal tar	LC50	96h	Fish	0.40	)7-1.045mg/L	4
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	0.07	72-0.09mg/L	4
	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species		Value	Source
wood dust	Not Available	Test Duration (hr)  Not Available	Species  Not Available		Not Available	Not Available

### Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
	No Data available for all ingredients	No Data available for all ingredients

### **Bioaccumulative potential**

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
creosote, coal tar	HIGH (BCF = 10500)

### Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
	No Data available for all ingredients

## **SECTION 13 Disposal considerations**

### Waste treatment methods

Product / Packaging disposal

- ▶ Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options.
- ► Consult State Land Waste Management Authority for disposal

For wood wastes including wood dusts:

Various public policies encourage the utilisation of waste wood for heat and energy production. Generation of heat using combustion technologies such as grate-fired boilers, fluidised bed combustion and cement kilns. Energy production technologies have been developed which are able to utilise mixed biomass to create energy. Common technologies include steam turbines, gasification and pyrolysis.

The main issue preventing the utilisation of wood wastes is overcoming contamination, especially contamination by chemicals such as wood preservatives. However, technologies are being developed to overcome such issues, which may be viable for some of the larger industries wishing to use waste wood for manufacturing or energy production.

When considering options for minimising waste, the waste hierarchy of "reduce, reuse, recycle" is a common feature across jurisdictions. The hierarchy expresses a preference to achieve sustainable outcomes by reducing the amount of waste that is generated, reusing what cannot be reduced and recycling what cannot be reused, with disposal as the last option.

- Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options
- Consult State Land Waste Authority for disposal.
- Bury or incinerate residue at an approved site.
- Recycle containers if possible, or dispose of in an authorised landfill.

### **SECTION 14 Transport information**

### Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	NO
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

Land transport (ADG): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

### Transport in bulk in accordance with MARPOL Annex V and the IMSBC Code

Product name	Group	
creosote, coal tar	Not Available	
wood dust	Not Available	

### Transport in bulk in accordance with the IGC Code

Product name	Ship Type	
creosote, coal tar	Not Available	
wood dust	Not Available	

## OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber

Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Print Date: 20/04/2023

### **SECTION 15 Regulatory information**

### Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

### creosote, coal tar is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Schedule 2

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Schedule 6

Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) -

Schedule 7

Version No: 6.1

Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 2A: Probably carcinogenic to humans

wood dust is found on the following regulatory lists

Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans

### **National Inventory Status**

National Inventory	Status			
Australia - AIIC / Australia Non-Industrial Use	Yes			
Canada - DSL	Yes			
Canada - NDSL	Yes			
China - IECSC	Yes			
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes			
Japan - ENCS	Yes			
Korea - KECI	Yes			
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes			
Philippines - PICCS	Yes			
USA - TSCA	Yes			
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes			
Mexico - INSQ	Yes			
Vietnam - NCI	No (creosote, coal tar)			
Russia - FBEPH	Yes			
Legend:	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory. These ingredients may be exempt or will require registration.			

## **SECTION 16 Other information**

Revision Date	10/03/2023
Initial Date	20/03/2018

### **SDS Version Summary**

Version	Date of Update	Sections Updated
5.1	10/12/2021	Classification change due to full database hazard calculation/update.
6.1	10/03/2023	Classification change due to full database hazard calculation/update.

### Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

### **Definitions and abbreviations**

PC-TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average

PC-STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists

STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit

TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit。

IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations

ES: Exposure Standard

OSF: Odour Safety Factor

NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level

LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

TLV: Threshold Limit Value LOD: Limit Of Detection

OTV: Odour Threshold Value

BCF: BioConcentration Factors

BEI: Biological Exposure Index

AIIC: Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals

DSL: Domestic Substances List

Chemwatch: 5300-02 Page **15** of **15** Issue Date: 10/03/2023 Version No: 6.1 Print Date: 20/04/2023

## **OneFortyOne Creosote Treated Timber**

NDSL: Non-Domestic Substances List

IECSC: Inventory of Existing Chemical Substance in China

EINECS: European INventory of Existing Commercial chemical Substances

ELINCS: European List of Notified Chemical Substances

NLP: No-Longer Polymers ENCS: Existing and New Chemical Substances Inventory

KECI: Korea Existing Chemicals Inventory

NZIoC: New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals PICCS: Philippine Inventory of Chemicals and Chemical Substances

TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act TCSI: Taiwan Chemical Substance Inventory INSQ: Inventario Nacional de Sustancias Químicas

NCI: National Chemical Inventory

FBEPH: Russian Register of Potentially Hazardous Chemical and Biological Substances

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.